

From: mpelepko@pa.gov
Sent: 4/17/2012 7:26:16 AM
To: "Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
CC:
Subject: RE: More Dimock Data

This is good work, Rich. I am pleased to hear that at least the databases I am in possession of are matching up well so far. For months I have been working under the assumption that anything we received was accurate, and it does still bother me to hear that this may not be the case. I know this is very tedious, but appreciate you taking the time to work through these comparisons, as there are many substantial decisions that are dependent upon the trends noted in these data as you know. Keep me updated as best you can and let me know if there is anything I can do to help out. Thanks again.

Seth

From: Richard Rupert [Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 5:57 AM
To: Pelepko, M. Seth
Subject: RE: More Dimock Data

I just started to compare the data, but the little that I have compared seem to show the two databases you sent me match up. It is the database I received from Cabot in early March that is not correlating. It also has many more residents, about a total of 121.

I plan on working on comparing the data bases today and will let you know what I find.

thank again for your help.

Rich

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."
Colin Powell

From: "Pelepko, M. Seth" <mpelepko@pa.gov>
To: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 04/16/2012 07:19 PM
Subject: RE: More Dimock Data

Hi Rich - Thanks for the update regarding this issue. If possible, could you let me know where you are finding the data discrepancies, e.g., specific parameters and data sources. I know Cabot switched over to a new database system relatively recently and so I am wondering if that is partially responsible for the inconsistencies. Is the earlier Cabot spreadsheet data I provided you for each COSA resident at least consistent with the DEP data I just forwarded to you today? This is definitely something that needs to be resolved soon in my opinion. Thanks again - Seth.

From: Richard Rupert [Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 3:13 PM
To: Pelepko, M. Seth
Subject: Re: More Dimock Data

Thanks very much Seth.

I am finding what appears to be numerous errors in the Cabot provided data. Hopefully I am wrong, since if I am correct I will have wasted about 40 hours of time....

Rich

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."
Colin Powell

From: "Pelepko, M. Seth" <mpelepko@pa.gov>
To: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 04/16/2012 01:17 PM
Subject: More Dimock Data

Hi Rich,

Per your request, please see the attached files. I apologize but I don't feel comfortable giving out Anthony Gorody's personal email address because I don't know him and I don't know if he uses it for business purposes – I only have it because it was included in an email that was forwarded to me by someone else. His Linkedin page is here<<http://www.linkedin.com/pub/anthony-gorody/13/316/903>> and I think the address and phone number for his consulting business is here<<http://www.manta.com/c/mm3nc27/universal-geoscience-consulting>>. Hope this helps!

Seth

Seth Pelepko, P.G. | Licensed Professional Geologist
Department of Environmental Protection
400 Market Street | Harrisburg, PA 17101
Phone: 717.772.2199 | Fax: 717.772.2291
www.depweb.state.pa.us<<http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/>>
[attachment "NWRO_Database.xls" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "ISOTOPIC_Data.xlsx" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US]